**SUPREME COURT CASES (REVIEW)**

**Directions**: Match the Supreme Court Case to its description by typing the title in the highlighted space. Each case is only used once.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Marbury v. Madison | Plessy v. Ferguson | Hazelwood v. Kuhlmeier |
| Brown v. Board of Education | Gideon v. Wainwright | District of Columbia v. Heller |
| ~~Miranda v. Arizona~~ | Tinker v. Des Moines | In Re Gault |
| United States v. Nixon | Bush v. Gore |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| This case ruled that suspects arrested needed to be informed of their rights by police before being questioned, such as the **right to remain silent**.  \_\_Miranda v. Arizona\_\_\_ | This case established the Supreme Court’s power of **judicial review**, which allows the court to decide if a law is unconstitutional.  \_\_\_\_\_ | This 1896 case dealt with a law that prevented African Americans from riding on “whites only” trains. The court said that **segregation** would be constitutional if the separate accommodations were equal.  \_\_\_\_\_ |
| This case dealt with a presidential scandal. The court ruled that the **president was not above the law** and couldn’t hide evidence, and it eventually led to the **president deciding to resign**.  \_\_\_\_\_ | This case ruled that states are **required to provide a lawyer** to all those accused of a crime, even if they cannot afford one.  \_\_\_\_\_ | This case overturned a DC law banning handguns. The court ruled that the 2nd Amendment protects an individual’s **right to own a firearm** in their own home.  \_\_\_\_\_ |
| This case focused on a Kansas school which tried to prevent African American children from attending. The Supreme Court ruled that “separate but equal” was never equal, and it began to **end legal segregation**.  \_\_\_\_\_ | This case ruled that schools **can limit the 1st Amendment rights of students** (such as by censoring newspapers) if there is a legitimate reason for doing so.  \_\_\_\_\_ | This 2000 case was a close **election between two presidential candidates** where the court ended a recount of votes because there was no clear and fair way to count the ballots.  \_\_\_\_\_ |
| Students attempted to protest a war by wearing armbands and were suspended. The court ruled that this was free speech that was non-disruptive; therefore, **students have 1st Amendment rights that are protected in school**.  \_\_\_\_\_ | This case involved a teenager who was arrested for prank phone calls and was not treated fairly. The court ruled that **juveniles have the same rights in court as adults**.  \_\_\_\_\_ |  |